



Sawyer Fielding LTD
Compulsory Purchase Specialists

Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government
National Planning Casework Unit
5 St Philips Place
Colmore Row
Birmingham
B3 2PW

16th July 2014

RECORDED DELIVERY

Dear Sirs,

The London Borough of Barnet (West Hendon Regeneration Area) Compulsory Purchase Order No 1 2014

I refer to the above Compulsory Purchase Order which was made on 3rd June 2014 pursuant to Section 226(1)(a) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, the Acquisition of Land Act 1981 and Section 13 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976.

For reference, the Order was served on qualifying parties on 5th June 2014. The Order was furthermore revised on 17th June 2014, making amendments to the third paragraph of the Order dealing with discharge of rights, incidents and trusts. This revision was communicated to qualifying parties by letter dated 25th June which also referred to the extension of the period for submitting objections to the Secretary of State to 18th July 2014. The timing of this Objection is served based on the revised deadline for submissions.

Sawyer Fielding Ltd is appointed by the leasehold owner of 88 Marriotts Close, whose property is required for demolition in the above named Order and is stated in Table 1 of the Order. The owners name and correspondence address are-

Mazhar & Zahida Hussein of 88 Marriotts Close, Hendon, London, NW9 7QB

The objector does not consent to the written representations route and reserves their right to be heard if a Public Inquiry is called.

The leaseholder(s) object(s) to the Compulsory Purchase Order on six grounds contained within this objection letter.

PO Box 1519, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, HP1 9QL



07901 666078 or 0800 058 2524 **E:** info@sawyerfielding.co.uk **W:** www.sawyerfielding.co.uk



Sawyer Fielding



RICS

Ground 1 – Social

ODPM Circular 06/2004 'Compulsory Purchase and the Crichel Down Rules ("Circular 06/2004") Appendix A, paragraph 6 refers to an acquiring authorities 'Wellbeing power' and supports the requirement in Section 226(1)(a) of Town and Country Planning Act 1990 which is subject to subsection 1A of Section 226. 'Wellbeing' is sub-categorised into 'economic', 'social' and 'environmental'.

It is the leaseholders assertion that the proposed scheme does not contribute to the social well being of the land required for the scheme.

Due in part to the twelve years that Regeneration has been 'on the cards', there are a significant number of leaseholders and tenants who have lived on the estate for a significant period of time.

For example, of the twenty six properties required for demolition in the Compulsory Purchase Order which are represented by Sawyer Fielding, twenty four have been owned or lived in by the owner for ten years or more, with six of these owned since the 1980s.

Of the thirty four privately owned residential properties on the estate (therefore excluding ones at Parade Terrace, Perryfield Way, The Broadway which are not on the estate), twenty eight are occupied by the owners. Of the remaining six, four of the owners lived in the properties prior to renting them out when they discovered that selling would be on blighted terms.

This high proportion of owner occupiers is very unusual in our experience on schemes like this.

There is a very strong community on the estate where lots of the owners and tenants know each other which the scheme threatens, unjustifiably to break up.

Examples of how strong this community spirit is include a number of demonstrations against the regeneration that many of the leaseholders and tenants have taken part in.


Several generations of the same family and friends stretch across the entire estate.

Ground 2 – Sustainable development – housing density

The Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 Section 39 requires regional and local plans to be prepared with a view to contributing to achievement of sustainable development. Section 1 and 17 require adoption of a Spatial Planning approach and Circular 06/2004 Appendix A paragraph 9 confirms the importance of these requirements in assessing the scheme.

The West Hendon estate currently comprises of 680 one bedroom flats, two bedroom maisonettes and three bedroom houses whilst the proposed development

PO Box 1519, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, HP1 9QL

 07901 666078 or 0800 058 2524 **E:** info@sawyerfielding.co.uk **W:** www.sawyerfielding.co.uk



Sawyer Fielding

will be for over 2000 properties, thereby creating a significant increase in housing density.

Though the individual Compulsory Purchase Order is pursuant to the construction of 659 residential properties, the Statement of Reasons refers to the wider regeneration scheme of 2000+ properties and as such grounds of objection within sustainable development are on the basis of the overall scheme.

According to the planning statement of 15 February 2013, the current population of the estate is estimated at 1,475 and that will rise to 9,161 once the new development is complete. The first Compulsory Purchase Order will make significant inroads into this ambition being achieved, if consented in its current form.

This increase in density greatly exceeds the recommendations by the Greater London Authority (GLA) and would give permission for four tower blocks to be built as high as 29 storey's (possibly as high as 31 storeys), where there are currently 4 storey blocks.

The Unitary Development Plan adopted by London Borough of Barnet Policy C1(a) referred to in the Statement of Reasons is vague in its reference for the estate for "high density housing." This along with the London Plan Core Strategy contradict the GLA's requirement.

Ground 3 – Sustainable development – transport infrastructure

The increase in density detailed above would put significant strain on the local transport infrastructure.

There is no evidence provided of any transport study or support from the Department for Transport for the scheme.

The West Hendon Estate neighbours a very busy High Street (Broadway/West Hendon Broadway) with an array of retail units and residential accommodation. This area struggles with traffic flows at some parts of the day already, a problem which would be further exacerbated by a large increase in housing density.

Nearby, there are also major developments at Hendon Village, Colindale and Graham Park with the major regeneration of Brent Cross shopping centre (also nearby) on the horizon. With little alterations to the transport infrastructure, these developments happening at the same time risk creation of a perfect storm which could cause gridlock on surrounding roads.

Ground 4 – Lack of negotiations prior to the Compulsory Purchase Order being made

It is well accepted that Circular 06/2004 requires the acquiring authority to enter into negotiations prior to an Order being made.

PO Box 1519, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, HP1 9QL



07901 666078 or 0800 058 2524 **E:** info@sawyerfielding.co.uk **W:** www.sawyerfielding.co.uk



Sawyer Fielding

On 1st April 2014, the West Hendon Residents Regeneration Group Annual General Meeting took place. This group, established with support of London Borough of Barnet as part of the consultation process and including officers from London Borough of Barnet, advised that the Compulsory Purchase Order would be sealed on 4th April with notices being sent to affected parties on 10th April.

Shortly after the 10th April, the West Hendon Partnership Board (established by the Residents Regeneration Group) advised that the CPO would be made two months later "to allow more time for private treaty negotiations with owners.....before making the Order."

A number of property inspections by Capita (on behalf of London Borough of Barnet) took place around the same time with others more recently.

The chronology since this suggestion in April 2014 is-

3rd June 2014 – Statement of Reasons is dated and presumably sealed

4th June 2014 – Negotiations are opened with what appears to be most if not all leaseholders, written to with the first offer

5th June AM and PM – Statement of Reasons hand delivered on the estate to affected parties with a number of copies also posted out to non resident owners

5th June PM – Owners on the estate receive their first offer through the post with non resident owners receiving theirs through at various times during the day, also through the post. No offers were made verbally as far as I am aware so this was the first time leaseholders were aware of the offer level.

A number of the offers were labelled as subject to inspection, thereby suggesting that the inspections had not held up offers being made.

London Borough of Barnet has clearly not made sufficient efforts to acquire the leasehold interests by agreement prior to seeking Compulsory Purchase powers.

Though the level of offers is a matter for the Lands Chamber rather than Public Inquiry, they are sufficiently low to suggest that they have been made as little more than a tokenistic gesture in attempt to satisfy the requirements of Circular 06/2004.

Specific to the level of the offers, one of the two bedroom properties received an offer from London Borough of Barnet of £44,000 less than the same property had been valued at on blighted terms (which would ordinarily be lower than unblighted Market Value), also by London Borough of Barnet, the previous month. There had been no changes to the property and minimal to the market in this short period. Offers for similar properties are around the same level.

No further offer has been made despite a month and a half passing and market evidence having been provided to substantiate higher offers.

PO Box 1519, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, HP1 9QL



07901 666078 or 0800 058 2524 **E:** info@sawyerfielding.co.uk **W:** www.sawyerfielding.co.uk



SawyerFielding

London Borough of Barnet do not appear to be acting in the spirit of the requirements in Circular 06/2004.

Ground 5 – Public & Stakeholder Consultation

It is the objectors assertion that the Public & Stakeholder consultation has been far from sufficient and has involved a long list of pledges and assurances by London Borough of Barnet and it's development partners which have not been kept or are no longer relevant.

In short, the main consultation from 2002 had insufficient approval, was based on an almost completely different scheme, is out of date and secured backing largely based on assurances which are no longer on offer or are now not relevant.

Consultation since then appears to have been with much smaller groups and is not sufficient to reflect the will of the estate. Even these smaller groups have expressed considerable angst at the changing landscape of what is on offer in the regeneration.

The main consultation on which London Borough of Barnet seem to rely on is the postal ballot which was carried out between 25th November 2002 and 6th December 2002, carried out by an independent organisation.

The consultation was a simple yes/no answer to the following question-

"Barnet Council and Metropolitan West Hendon wish to....regenerate West Hendon. This will involve modern homes for all existing residents.....In principle, do you support this aim?"

Resident testimony of their memories from the time suggests that London Borough of Barnet's development partner at the time (Metropolitan – who are still involved now as a junior partner to BDW Trading Ltd) went door-knocking on the estate to receive further responses to the consultation. This brings into question the impartiality.

The low turnout (63%) combined with the numbers in favour (75%) produce an overall percentage in favour of the scheme as less than half.

The question is also sufficiently vague that it would be reasonable for residents to consider it in light with all of the other pledges and assurances which had been made by London Borough of Barnet or it's development partner.

There are a number of very significant changes since the consultation took place which gives it extremely little relevance. For example-

- At the point of the consultation, the Council's JV partners in regeneration were Metropolitan Housing Trust, Lovell Partnerships and Bellhouse Joseph, all of which formed a consortium. 3 years after the consultation, Lovells and

PO Box 1519, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, HP1 9QL



07901 666078 or 0800 058 2524 **E:** info@sawyerfielding.co.uk **W:** www.sawyerfielding.co.uk



Sawyer Fielding

Bellhouse dropped out and were replaced with Barratt Homes Ltd (now known as BDW Trading Ltd) who are the main development partner

- In the 11.5 years since the consultation, some of those entitled to vote will have changed and those who are entitled to vote may have a different opinion
- The consultation included Ramsey Close which is no longer part of the scheme
- Despite the footprint of the overall regeneration scheme now being smaller than consulted on, the number of new properties to be built has increased from 1100 to over 2000

In particular, there are a number of assurances also made by London Borough of Barnet and the consortium that were provided in writing to all leaseholders in a series of newsletters and other documentation. All of these are taken verbatim from publications from London Borough of Barnet or its development partners at the time of the public consultation in late 2002.

“Modern homes for all existing residents” : This comes from the consultation question and may not now be provided due to stringent requirements to qualify.

“No-one being re-housed will be required to live on a floor higher than their current home” : As the new development will have a greater density, there are no assurances that this can now be met. Many leaseholders do not wish to move to a higher floor than they are currently on.

“Owner occupiers will have the opportunity to transfer their existing equity into their new home”: Existing equity may be insufficient to purchase another property due to separate requirements for minimum shares. It would be helpful for the London Borough of Barnet to clarify whether any additional compensation (statutory loss and/or disturbance) could be added to equity from the property vendors sell.

“You can swap your existing home for a new home on West Hendon”: This guarantee appears to no longer be in place as there are a series of qualification criteria which not everyone will attain.

“You can revert to a tenancy” : This appears to no longer be on offer and may not be possible due to the limited housing stock which both London Borough of Barnet and Metropolitan Housing association have.

“Subsidised service charge for affordable homes” : It appears that this assurance is no longer on offer. Many leaseholders may not be able to afford what may be higher service charges than they have typically incurred over the last few years.

“Ground floor maisonettes (the new ones – ed.) will have a private front garden” and non ground floor properties will have **“private outside space.....either a balcony, roof terrace or private back garden”** : There are now very few maisonettes due to be built despite the majority of the properties that are being

PO Box 1519, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, HP1 9QL

 07901 666078 or 0800 058 2524 **E:** info@sawyerfielding.co.uk **W:** www.sawyerfielding.co.uk



Sawyer Fielding

demolished being maisonettes. Those that are being built have already been allocated/sold according to leaseholder testimony.

"New homes will be at least as large as existing homes. In some instances rooms will be bigger": It now appears as if the new properties will be smaller

"Residents will be able to make choices from a menu of options as to....."the style and location of their property" It now appears as if there is no choice

Clearly, it would be beneficial for all qualifying leaseholders to have detailed information about the shared equity deal on offer. Many voted in favour of the regeneration based largely on what was previously on offer.

However, with the developer having changed and 12 years having passed, what is currently on offer now appears to be completely different with leaseholders non-the-wiser as to what they are entitled to.

Though shared equity is not an entitlement under the Compulsory Purchase Code, the public and stakeholder consultation was based largely on offers that are no longer in place on a scheme which has a different developer, is far larger, builds different types of properties and is in many ways, completely different to that which stakeholders voted on in 2002.

It is the objectors assertion therefore that the revised scheme with the offers it has for displaced leaseholders is not in the public interest.

Ground 6 – Insufficient local services to cope with increased housing density

Many local services such as healthcare and secondary school education are already overstretched and could become more so if the scheme goes ahead.

This could have a negative impact on the quality of these services to anyone who remains on the estate and also those nearby.

I have also enclosed a letter from Andrew Dismore, Assembly Member for Barnet & Camden to express his concerns and also his memory of events since the consultation from 2002.

Please acknowledge receipt of this objection.

Yours sincerely


Dan Knowles MRICS

Director

E-mail: danknowles@sawyerfielding.co.uk

Direct Dial: 07901 666078

Freephone: 0800 058 2524

PO Box 1519, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, HP1 9QL



07901 666078 or 0800 058 2524 **E:** info@sawyerfielding.co.uk **W:** www.sawyerfielding.co.uk



Sawyer Fielding

10

